

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,157	09/04/2001		Stefan Reh	076326-0194	9080	
	7590	09/17/2002				
FOLEY & L.	ARDNER	1	EXAMINER			
Wahington Ha Suite 500			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR			
3000 K Street, Washington, I		-6140	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
··· usimigron, z	2000.	01.0	3724			
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<i>\theta</i>
Office Action Summ	nan/	09/944,157		REH ET AL.	
Office Action Sum	Examiner		Art Unit		
The MAIL INC DATE of this		Omar Flores-Sá		3724	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover	sneet with the (correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the i - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a repl maximum statutory period iod for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailin	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	ever, may a reply be til imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		— nis action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in	<i>,</i> —			rosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application	٦.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u>	<u>0-14 and 17-22</u> is/a	re withdrawn fror	n consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,15 and 16</u> is/a	re rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ted to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	er.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)∏ object	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request the	- ·	•	-	` '	
11)☐ The proposed drawing corre	ction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	ed b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawin	•	. •	tion.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of	f a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N	lone of:				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the	e priority document	s have been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the	e priority document	s have been rece	ived in Applicat	ion No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified application from t * See the attached detailed Of	he International Bu	reau (PCT Rule	l7.2(a)).	· ·	е
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of		• •			
Attachment(s)			-		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office A	ction Summary		Part of Pape	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 10-14, 17-21 and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and Species I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the method step of claim 1 could not be practiced by hand. This is not found persuasive because the method of forming a weakening area in airbag cover could be practiced by hand.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Towler et al. (foreign patent no. 2, 205, 284).

Tower discloses a method of forming a weakening area in airbag cover comprising the set of: providing a cutting support Fig. 4A; providing a cutter; placing the airbag with the

Art Unit: 3724

decorative facing down on the support Fig. 4; cutting a tear line of a predetermined pattern Fig. 4A; applying a predetermined force to the area of the cover and maintaining the cover against the support with a vacuum Fig. 4A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tower et al. and Yamasaki et al.

Tower discloses the method of forming a weakening area in airbag cover substantially as claimed except for an oscillating cutter/blade. However, Yamasaki teaches the use of an oscillating cutter/blade 22 for the purpose of forming the recess portion in the thermoplastic elastomer material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Tower's cutter by providing the oscillating cutter/blade as taught by Yamasaki in order to cut the support layer made of thermoplastic elastomer material.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tower et al. in view of foreign patent no. DE 4424686 A1.

Tower discloses the method of forming a weakening area in airbag cover substantially as claimed except for an end having a semicircular shape. However,

Application/Control Number: 09/944,157

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

foreign patent '686 teaches the use of an end having a semicircular shape 18, 20 for the

purpose of preventing the tearing action which occurs along the breaking line from

being extended beyond the end of the breaking line. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified

Tower's device by providing the end having a semicircular shape as taught by foreign

patent'686 in order to prevent the tearing action which occurs along the breaking line

from being extended beyond the end of the breaking line.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Ward, Slobodkin, Takada and Jung are cited to show related

device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-

308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between

8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-

9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

September 11, 2002

KENNETH E. PETERSON